1 2 3 4 5	Kerry McInerney Freeman (SBN 184764) kmf@millerlawgroup.com Adam J. Tullman (SBN 235694) ajt@millerlawgroup.com MILLER LAW GROUP A Professional Corporation 111 Sutter Street, Suite 700 San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel. (415) 464-4300			
6 7	Fax (415) 464-4336			
8	Attorneys for Defendant XEROX CORPORATION			
9	Elizabeth L. Riles, Esq. (SBN 197411) Bohbot & Riles, LLP			
10	1814 Franklin St., Suite 800 Oakland, CA 94612			
11	Tel. (510) 273-3111 Fax (510) 273-8911			
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs SAKEENAH McCULLOUGH and DANIEL GUNTHER			
13				
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
16				
17	SAKEENAH MCCULLOUGH and DANIEL	Case No.: 4:13-cv-04596 JSW		
18	GUNTHER			
19	Digintiffo	STIPULATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL		
20	Plaintiffs,	RULE 7-11 TO FILE TWO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND TO EXTEND		
21	V.	DEADLINES		
22	XEROX CORPORATION, a corporation, and			
23	DOES One through Thirty-five, inclusive,	Complaint filed: June 27, 2013 First Amended Complaint filed: July 26, 201		
24	Defendants.	That the had somplaint med. buty 20, 20		
25				
26				
27				
28				

- 1			
1	Pursuant to Local Rules 7-11 and 7-12 and Judge White's Standing Orde		
2	Paragraph 9, Defendant Xerox Corporation ("Defendant" or "Xerox") and Plaintiffs		
3	Sakeenah McCullough and Daniel Gunther ("Plaintiffs") (collectively referred to as the		
4	"Parties") hereby stipulate and agree as follows:		
5			
6	A. WHEREAS the close of discovery in this case is currently set for		
7	February 28, 2015;		
8			
9	B. WHEREAS dispositive motions are currently scheduled to be heard on		
10	April 17, 2015;		
11			
12	C. WHEREAS Defendant intends to request summary judgment against		
13	both Plaintiffs;		
14			
15	D. WHEREAS the Plaintiffs have disparate employment histories, different		
16	positions, different supervisors, and different legal claims;		
17			
18	E. WHEREAS the parties have agreed that the filing of two separate		
19	motions for summary judgment would be more efficient, avoid confusion, unfairness and		
20	prejudice between the two cases, and avoid confusion of the issues and facts at stake;		
21			
22	F. WHEREAS the filing of two separate motions would create additional		
23	time pressure under the standard briefing schedule for motions for summary judgment and		
24	the parties have agreed that an additional week for opposing each motion and an additional		
25	week for replying would be sufficient to alleviate any such pressure;		
26			
27			
28			

G. WHEREAS providing the extra week for opposition and reply with the current motion hearing date would require the motions to be filed before the close of discovery;

- H. WHEREAS the Parties the parties participated in a private mediation with mediator Susan Haldeman on November 17, 2014, and the parties were unable to resolve the claims of either Plaintiff;
- WHEREAS the Parties are diligently pursuing additional discovery following the unsuccessful mediation, including scheduling four depositions in January; and

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BETWEEN THE PARTIES, THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL, AND AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

Subject to the approval of the Court:

- 1) Defendant shall be permitted to file two separate Summary Judgment motions, one directed at each Plaintiff.
- 2) The following changes will be made to the briefing and hearing schedules for such motions:

Description	Current Date	Proposed Date
Last Day to File Dispositive Motions	3/13/2015	NO CHANGE
Last Day to File Opposition to Dispositive Motions	3/27/2015	4/3/2015
Last Day to File Reply to Dispositive Motions	4/3/2015	4/17/2015
Hearing on Dispositive Motion	4/17/2015	5/1/2015
Further CMC	4/17/2015	5/1/2015

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: January 12, 2015

Dated: January 12, 2015

MILLER LAW GROUP A Professional Corporation

By:

Adam J. Tullman

Attorneys for Defendant XEROX CORPORATION

BOHBOT & RILES, LLP

Elizabeth/L. Riles

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SAKEENAH McCULLOUGH and DANIEL

GUNTHER

PROPOSED ORDER

Having reviewed the Stipulation executed by Plaintiffs SAKEENAH MCCULLOUGH and DANIEL GUNTHER and Defendant XEROX CORPORATION, and good cause appearing, the Court hereby orders that:

- Defendant shall be permitted to file two separate Summary Judgment motions, one directed at each Plaintiff.
- The Court adopts the suggested briefing schedule and continues the Case Management Conference and Hearing on Dispositive motions as follows:

Description	New Date
Last Day to File Dispositive Motions	3/13/2015
Last Day to File Opposition to Dispositive Motions	4/3/2015
Last Day to File Reply to Dispositive Motions	4/17/2015
Hearing on Dispositive Motion	5/1/2015
Further CMC	5/1/2015

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 26, 2015

y S Whits

District Judge

4847-0178-9473, v. 4